Full field assessment of wind turbine near wake deviation in relation to yaw misalignment
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Abstract. Presently there is a lack of data revealing the behaviour of the path followed by the near wake of full scale wind turbines and its dependence on yaw misalignment. Here we present an experimental analysis of the horizontal wake deviation of a 5 MW offshore wind turbine between 0.6 and 1.4 diameters downstream. The wake field has been scanned with a short range lidar and the wake path has been reconstructed by means of two-dimensional Gaussian tracking. We analysed the measurements for rotor yaw misalignments arising in normal operation and during partial load, representing high thrust coefficient conditions. We classified distinctive wake paths with reference to yaw misalignment, based on the nacelle wind vane, in steps of 3° in a range of ±10.5°. All paths observed in the nacelle frame of reference showed a consistent convergence towards 0.9 rotor diameters downstream suggesting a kind of wake deviation delay. This contrasts with published results from wind tunnels which in general report a convergence towards the rotor. The discrepancy is evidenced in particular in a comparison which we performed against published paths obtained by means of tip vortex tracking.

1 Introduction

Lately, attention has been directed towards the effects of wind turbine misalignment on wake aerodynamics. Wind tunnel experiments (e.g. Medici and Dahlberg, 2003) and numerical simulations (e.g. Jiménez et al., 2010; Fleming et al., 2014) suggest that the steady path followed by a wind turbine wake can be regulated actively. Consequently, it is envisioned to optimise the wake path of individual wind turbines to increase the overall efficiency of a wind farm. One of the techniques proposed to achieve this relies on the active control of the wake lateral position by means of active inducement of rotor yaw misalignment. The aim is to avoid full wake effects for wind directions of perfect turbine alignment. At present, the question arises regarding the transferability of the findings of the controlled lab and numerical experiments to the full field. The experimental study of the deviation effect is not simple since the wake flow has to be measured comprehensively in time and space. Therefore, special measuring techniques have to be applied in order to resolve the flow field in a spatial domain covering substantial part of the wake to finally estimate the wake position along its downstream path.

In wind tunnel experiments the quantification of the wake path is performed by means of tip vortex tracking (e.g. Grant et al., 1997; Medici and Dahlberg, 2003; Haans et al., 2005). The technique is based on visualisation of passive tracers revealing the deviation of vortex generated at the blade tips. Additionally, techniques which reveal the full wake flow using particle image...
velocimetry (PIV) are also applied (e.g. Krogstad and Adaramola, 2012; Rockel et al., 2014). In spite of their suitability in the lab, these visualisation techniques are, to our knowledge, not applicable at the scales of modern commercial wind turbines. A full field alternative technique is the application of remote sensing techniques. Together with other researchers I proposed a wake tracking technique in Trujillo et al. (2011) which is based on two-dimensional lidar measurements from the wind turbine nacelle. In that research the technique is applied in the far wake at four diameters downstream of a medium scale wind turbine. Others have applied a similar methodology, of fitting a predefined wake shape to lidar data, in order to capture the far wake path of large-scale wind turbines (e.g., Aitken et al., 2014; Machefaux et al., 2015). However, we have not found literature about experimental studies in the near wake between the rotor and two diameters downstream. Such measurements are useful to understand the wake behaviour in its full extent and for validation of findings with physical and numerical models. Moreover, such measurements should give insight into the relation of measurements in the vicinity of the rotor, for instance the nacelle wind vane, and the wake deviation. This is useful regarding the future needs in sensors for implementation of active wake control strategies.

In this research we aim to measure horizontal near wake paths and observe the dependence of their trajectory on yaw misalignment, by applying nacelle based wake tracking techniques. Initially we revise a wake tracking technique already used in far wake studies. Then, we show statistics of wake position tracked between 0.6 and 1.4 diameters downstream of an offshore wind turbine. In a next step, we estimate wake paths and classify them with respect to yaw misalignment conditions arising in normal operation. Furthermore, we discuss the factors that may affect the measurement technique and the results. Finally, we compare the findings against results from a published wind tunnel experiment applying vortex tracking in a region from 0.2 until 0.5 diameters downstream.

2 Methods

2.1 Near wake path reconstruction from wake tracking

The path followed by the wake of a wind turbine can be reconstructed by estimating the transversal position of the wake deficit at a discrete number of downstream stations. At present, this can be performed in the full field by applying wake tracking on wind fields obtained with nacelle based scanning lidars. In this method the bulk transversal location of the wind speed deficit is identified at each downstream station. For this, the wind velocity is measured on sections parallel to the turbine rotor at defined downstream stations. Figure 1 shows this with the convention for positive yaw misalignment. There, the subscript \( l \) stands for the lidar frame of reference, which is attached to the measurement system. This frame of reference can be assumed equal to a nacelle frame of reference if a perfect alignment between the lidar and the nacelle is assumed. Afterwards, the wake offset in lateral direction \( \delta y_l \) is estimated at each station. The average over a defined period of time of the wake offsets, at each downstream position, describes the near wake path.
2.2 Lidar wake tracking with bi-variate Gaussian templates

We employed a wake tracking technique based on a method developed for analysis of two-dimensional lidar measurements in the far wake as presented in Trujillo et al. (2011). The main difference with the published research is that we used here the non-simplified fitting function and formulated an additional function for more complex flow. In the following we re-introduce the method and illustrate the additions we make. In the tracking procedure, wind field snapshots are taken across the wake on vertical planes parallel to the rotor. Next, wind speed deficits are calculated by subtracting the inflow vertical profile measured by an additional system. Afterwards a bi-variate Gaussian function (equation 1) is fitted by means of least-squares to each wind speed deficit.

\[
f(y_i, z_i | \mu_y, \mu_z, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, A, \rho) = \frac{A}{2\pi \sigma_y \sigma_z \sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \cdot \exp\left[ -\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left( \frac{(y_i - \mu_y)^2}{\sigma_y^2} - \frac{2\rho(y_i - \mu_y)(z_i - \mu_z)}{\sigma_y \sigma_z} + \frac{(z_i - \mu_z)^2}{\sigma_z^2} \right) \right]
\]

The Gaussian function is selected as a fitting template due to its flexibility to adapt to axial-symmetric or non-axial-symmetric shapes. This is convenient in the near wake since the velocity across the rotor is characterised by a complex shape. The target is to obtain the best estimate of \( \mu_y \) and \( \mu_z \) which define the axis of the Gaussian function. This is assumed to be a marker of the wake centre location in horizontal \( (y) \) and vertical \( (z) \) direction. The half-widths of the shape are given by \( \sigma_y \) and \( \sigma_z \), respectively. Moreover, \( \rho \) represents the correlation between both coordinates and its magnitude \( (|\rho|) \) is lesser than 1. In the case that the axes of elongation and compression of the shape are aligned with \( y \) and \( z \) then \( \rho \) is 0, otherwise this parameter generates a rotation of the axes (figure 2). We expect this parameter to be useful in capturing non axisymmetric wake shapes,
Figure 2. Example of bi-variate function (equation 1) for equal parameters $\mu_y, \sigma_y, \mu_z, \sigma_z$ and $A$, but varying correlation values as $\rho = -0.9$, $\rho = 0$ and $\rho = 0.9$, respectively from left to right. Top represents the wake speed deficit and bottom the contour lines.

for instance those attributed to wake rotational effects. Furthermore, it is expected that this shape fits in a robust manner with deficits with complex shapes due to uneven induction, rotational effects and boundary layer interaction.

Additionally, the near wake flow is characterised by the detailed rotor aerodynamics. Specially the low induction at the blade root lets wind pass the rotor almost unaffected. This is expressed in a wind speed peak in the near wake centre which we propose to capture by subtracting a concentric Gaussian function from equation 1. The resulting function can be seen in equation 2, where the second term on the right hand side (subscript 2) is subtracted from equation 1 (subscript 1). This creates a depression at the highest values of the single Gaussian form describing the wake deficit (See figure 3).

$$f(y, z|\mu_y, \mu_z, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, A_1, A_2, \rho) = \frac{A_1}{2\pi \sigma_y \sigma_z \sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(y - \mu_y)^2}{\sigma_y^2} - \frac{2\rho(y - \mu_y)(z - \mu_z)}{\sigma_y \sigma_z} + \frac{(z - \mu_z)^2}{\sigma_z^2} \right) \right] - \frac{A_2}{2\pi \sigma_{yz}^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(y - \mu_y)^2}{\sigma_y^2} + \frac{(z - \mu_z)^2}{\sigma_z^2} \right) \right]$$ (2)

3 Full field experiment at «alpha ventus» offshore wind farm

We applied the techniques for near wake path reconstruction to measurements performed on a wind turbine at the offshore test wind farm «alpha ventus». The measurement campaign took place from the 3rd of March 2011 until the 25th of July 2011.


Figure 3. Example of extended bi-variate function (equation 2) for equal parameters $\mu_y$, $\mu_z$, $\sigma_{y1}$, $\sigma_{y2}$, $\sigma_{z1}$, $\sigma_{z2}$, $A_1$ and $A_2$, but varying correlation values as $\rho = -0.9$, $\rho = 0$ and $\rho = 0.9$, respectively from left to right. Top represents the wake speed deficit and bottom the contour lines.

Measurement data have been selected and synchronised as ten minutes averages from three different measurement systems. Namely, the turbine data were obtained from the supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system, the lidar scanner provided the wake wind field data; finally, the inflow data are recorded from a meteorological mast located at the offshore research platform FINO1.

3.1 Wind turbine and nacelle based lidar system

The studied turbine is the AV07 which is located in the third row from top to bottom and on the westerly side (shown as a square in figure 4). This turbine is of type Adwen AD 5-116, formerly called M5000-116. It has a rotor diameter ($D$) of 116 m and a hub-height of 90 m. The cut-in wind speed is 3.5 m/s, the nominal wind speed is 12.5 m/s and the cut-out wind speed 25.0 m/s. Wind turbine data were provided by the SCADA system with a one-minute sampling rate. Mainly, we recorded the nacelle wind vane as the yaw misalignment sensor and estimate a proxy status signal. We estimated this status as an on/off signal by evaluating ten minute averages of power production and generator rotational speed. Finally, we processed the data in blocks of ten minutes without checking yaw manoeuvres of the turbine in each period of time. We took the decision to skip this check because of practical reasons; for instance, this would force us to work with data blocks shorter than ten minutes and variable in size leading to issues of inflow steadiness with respect to the reference meteorological mast.

The wind turbine near wake has been measured with a research scanning lidar. The system is equipped with a commercial short range pulsed lidar of type Windcube WLS-7 version 1.0 from the manufacturer Leosphere. This is coupled to a scanner with two degrees of freedom, which has been designed by the research group Stuttgart Wind Energy at the University of
Figure 4. Measurement system installation at alpha ventus. Layout of alpha ventus wind farm and position of FINO1 meteorological mast (left). Photography of installation of Windcube plus scanner at helicopter deck of the Adwen’s AD 5-116 (right).

Stuttgart. The lidar was located on top of the nacelle on the rear part of the helicopter deck of the AV07, as can be observed in figure 4. The position of the source of the lidar, that is the pivot of the scanner mirror, has been estimated as $x = 4.3$ m, $y = -1.1$ m and $z = 3.5$ m on the nacelle frame of reference. This is a right-hand frame which is centred at the intersection of the tower and the rotor axis and which $x$-axis points downstream. We estimated an orientation error in the alignment of the lidar scanning axis with reference to the nacelle longitudinal axis is in the order of $\pm 1^\circ$. More details of this system can be found in Peña et al. (2013, chap. 8).

The lidar system measures at five stations downstream, namely, $0.6 \, D$, $0.8 \, D$, $1.0 \, D$, $1.2 \, D$ and $1.4 \, D$, which here are numbered from 1 to 5, respectively. The scanning is performed in a quasi-simultaneously process; the scanner described continuously a pattern similar to a Lissajous figure projected into a regularly spaced grid of 7 by 7 nodes. The line-of-sight wind speed was measured simultaneously at the five stations for each scanner position. In effect, the speed of aerosols along the laser beam is estimated by evaluation of the Doppler frequency shift of back-scattered light at the interrogated positions. The scanner movement and the lidar are setup to perform a fly-by measurement at each of the grid points. Scanning of flat planes parallel to the wind turbine rotor is achieved by updating the lidar ranges at each grid point continuously. Figure 5 shows an example of a measurement performed over approx. 9 s. The intensity map represents the line-of-sight wind speed interpolated by means of a Delaunay triangulation on a grid with higher spatial resolution than the measurement grid. We performed wake tracking by fitting the template function (equations 1 and 2) on such measured fields. This was done applying a trust region algorithm \(^1\) which has the ability to perform bounded optimisation and in this way the domain of valid wake centre positions has been restricted to not exceed one rotor radius ($|\mu_y| \leq R$ and $|\mu_z| \leq R$).

3.2 Inflow conditions from meteorological mast at FINO1 platform

The windfarm inflow wind conditions for westerly winds were provided by the offshore meteorological mast FINO1. This is located to the north-west of the AV07 and is separated by a distance of approximately $8 \, D$ (figure 4). Wind speed vertical

\(^1\)Specifically we have used a trust region reflective algorithm implemented in the Optimisation Toolbox (Version 6.4) of Matlab©: Version: 8.2.0.701, The MathWorks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, 2013.
profiles are measured with calibrated cup anemometers at heights above the lowest astronomical tide (LAT) of 34.0 m, 41.5 m, 51.5 m, 61.5 m, 71.5 m, 81.5 m, 91.5 m and 103.0 m. Additionally, three-dimensional sonic anemometers provide also wind speeds at three heights, namely, 41.5 m, 61.5 m and 81.5 m. These two types of anemometers are located at different sides of the meteorological mast presenting different behaviour with respect to wake effects from the mast as shown by Westerhellweg et al. (2012). To obtain suitable vertical profiles we processed the corrected cup and non-corrected sonic anemometers independently for each particular wind direction. Moreover, we obtained the wind direction near hub-height from the wind vane installed at 91.5 m LAT. We selected data sets for wind directions where the turbine operates undisturbed by any of the neighbouring wind turbines, namely for wind directions in the sector between 209° and 330°. These angles are given in meteorological convention with 0° pointing to north and positive angles in clock-wise direction.

Finally, we performed an extrapolation of the vertical profile to estimate wind speeds above hub-height and until the highest height measured by the lidar. This was performed using the measurements from 34.0 m until 91.5 m LAT. For this, we assume that the profile follows the Businger-Dyer model for the diabatic boundary layer profile \( u(z|u^*, z_o, L) \) as presented by Stull (1988). The model parameters, friction velocity \( u^* \), roughness length \( z_o \) and Monin-Obukhov length \( L \), were estimated for each ten minutes time series by performing a least-squares fit to the ten minutes averages measured at FINO1.

4 Results

4.1 Wake position statistics from selected single Gaussian tracking

During this experiment, we selected measurements for six consecutive days from the 29th of June 2011 at 00h UTC until the 5th of July 2011 at 00h UTC. During this period of westerly winds the wind turbine operated in free flow and mostly under wind speeds below rated speed, as can be seen in figure 6. This means that the data are representative for partial load conditions, where the thrust coefficient curve is relatively constant with values estimated to be above \( c_T = 0.77 \) during 75% of
Figure 6. Inflow conditions: measured wind speed and wind direction at FINO1 at a height of 91.5 m LAT during selected period from the 29th of June 2011 at 00h UTC until the 5th of July 2011 at 00h UTC.

the analysed time. Additionally, in regards to the yaw control of the turbine, during this time the turbine performs maximum two yaw corrections of some degrees in a ten minute period.

We selected the lidar data for the same dates and wind direction, furthermore we analysed them in ten minutes blocks containing approximately 65 wind field snapshots. These data sets were checked concerning the back-scattered signal quality by filtering out low quality data not complying with the lowest acceptable value of carrier-to-noise-ratio CNR = -17 dB.

Next, we tracked the wake position in each of the measured fields. First the wind speed was reconstructed by assuming full alignment of the wind vector with the rotor axis. Afterwards, the wake wind speed deficits were calculated by subtracting the inflow wind speed profile. This was obtained by averaging over ten minutes measurements at the FINO1 meteorological mast and performing extrapolation as explained in section 3.2.

Some tests have been performed with the extended template function in equation 2; mainly this function has been applied to a selected ten minute time series using the simplification that $\sigma_{y_2} = \sigma_{z_2}$. The results looked qualitatively more similar to the measurements, however, the improvement of success in the nearer stations 1 and 2 was marginal. Moreover, the wake
offsets obtained were similar to those obtained with the simpler function in equation 1. Due to this fact and an expected higher computational cost of the extended function, we applied the simpler function.

After performing wake tracking with this template, we selected ten minute time series of \( (\mu_y, \mu_z) \) where at least 70\% of the snapshots have been tracked successfully at each downstream station. Afterwards, we calculated the ten minute averages \( (\overline{\mu}_y, \overline{\mu}_z) \) at each station. Finally, for reconstruction of average wake paths, we selected only ten minute blocks for which data is available at all five stations and which complied with the inflow and signal quality criteria mentioned before. For this experiment, we found 348 ten minutes data sets complying with all these criteria. This is equivalent to \( \sim 51\% \) rate of success taking into account that 678 ten minutes data sets complying with the wind direction sector requirement.

The statistics of wake offset \( (\delta y_l) \) for the selected data are shown in table 1. A linear regression through the mean values has given the function \( \delta y_l = 5x - 5 \), where \( \delta y_l \) is given in meters and \( x \) in \( D \). The slope is positive and equivalent to 2.6°. The data distribution can be seen in figure 7, where violin plots show the frequency distribution of wake offsets at each downstream station plotted together with the first, second and third quartiles.

### Table 1. Statistics of wake offset obtained with simple Gaussian tracking for selected week. All values in lidar frame of reference given in [m] but the count.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \delta y_1 )</th>
<th>( \delta y_2 )</th>
<th>( \delta y_3 )</th>
<th>( \delta y_4 )</th>
<th>( \delta y_5 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>count</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>-8.2</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Near wake path dependency on yaw misalignment

The dependency of the mean near wake path on yaw misalignment is shown in figure 8. This shows the results of classifying paths with respect to yaw misalignment based on the nacelle wind vane measurements. We have set an ad hoc bin size of 3° and performed averaging of all selected data at each downstream distance. The error bars present the standard error on the mean calculated as \( s(\overline{\delta y_l}) = s_{\delta y_l} / \sqrt{N} \), where \( s_{\delta y_l} \) is the standard deviation and \( N \) is the number of data points.

The mean yaw misalignment angles estimated from the mean near wake paths \( (\gamma_w) \) are shown in table 2. These were calculated by linear regression of the last three downstream stations \( (1.0D, 1.2D \text{ and } 1.4D) \), whereby \( \gamma_w \) is a transformation of the slope into degrees in the frame of reference of the rotor, namely \( \gamma_w = -\gamma_{wt} \) (see figure 1). The difference between the vane and wake tracking based misalignment is calculated as \( \Delta \gamma = \gamma_v - \gamma_w \) and its average over all bins is \( \overline{\Delta \gamma} = +2.7° \).
Figure 7. Violin plot of statistics showing the distribution of the frequency of the horizontal wake offset. The dashed lines represent the 25th, 50th and 75th percentile. $\delta y_l$ is given in rotor radius $[R]$. 

Figure 8. Mean near wake paths measured by lidar wake tracking classified in 3° bins with respect to yaw misalignment measured with the nacelle vane. Bars showing standard error on the mean.
Table 2. Results of estimation of yaw misalignment from wake tracking ($\gamma_w$) by linear regression through the last three stations of the near wake path ($1.0D$, $1.2D$ and $1.4D$). Data classified in $3^\circ$ bins with respect to yaw misalignment from nacelle vane ($\gamma_v$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\gamma_v$</th>
<th>$\gamma_w$</th>
<th>$\Delta\gamma$</th>
<th>Slope ($\text{[m/D]}$)</th>
<th>Offset ($\text{[m]}$)</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$+9^\circ$</td>
<td>$+5.9^\circ$</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-11.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$+6^\circ$</td>
<td>$+4.5^\circ$</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$+3^\circ$</td>
<td>$+1.1^\circ$</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-6.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>-11.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>-17.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-12.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>-22.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Discussion

5.1 Characteristics of full field near wake paths

Two main features can be observed in the near wake paths obtained during this experiment (figure 8). Namely, what we call a delay of wake deviation and an asymmetry of the wake paths with reference to the nacelle longitudinal axis. We give the following interpretation to these results.

5.1.1 Delay of wake deviation

A feature of the obtained near wake paths is their consistent convergence towards the centre at a downstream distance approximately at $x_1 \simeq 0.9D$. We interpret this as if, just after this distance, the wake would deviate from the longitudinal axis of the nacelle as expected from the pure rotor thrust balance; therefore, we call this a wake deviation delay. A representation of this, is presented in figure 9 for one hypothetical path. This contrasts with the wind tunnel observations (e.g., Grant et al., 1997; Haans et al., 2005; Medici and Dahlberg, 2003) which show that the near wake paths meet at the nacelle area or very close to the rotor for horizontal yaw.

Nonetheless, we interpret the results of Rockel et al. (2014) as showing a similar qualitative behaviour to the one evidenced in this paper. Their observations have been made by means of PIV in an experiment with a floating model in the wind tunnel. Among others, they perform an analysis of the steady wake of the backwards tilted turbine under constant inflow speed. In their plots we appreciate that the wake deficit is shifted initially downwards by as much as $1.0D$ and further downstream would deviate upwards as expected. Taking into account their frame of reference, this can be assumed as describing an analog path as in our results.

This leads us to presume that the differences between the paths obtained with vortex tracking and with our wake tracking procedure can not exclusively be attributed to the fundamental difference in the measurement techniques. Mainly, this is show-
Figure 9. Sketch of our interpretation of the measured near wake path for an individual yaw misalignment; showing what we call a deviation delay with respect to wind tunnel paths found in publications.

5.1.2 Near wake path asymmetry

In the resulting paths we appreciate two regions, divided approximately at 0.9D, which show different characteristics of symmetry about the nacelle longitudinal axis. In the region between 0.6D and 0.8D the paths show a trend to lie towards the right side, when looking downstream. In contrast, at larger distances from 1.0D until 1.4D the paths are distributed more evenly to both sides. In this region however, there is some apparent and slight bias towards the left side. In spite that we can quantify an average between the yaw misalignment estimated with the wind vane and with the mean wake path (Δγ = +2.7°), it is not possible for us to differentiate this as a pure, or a combination of, wind vane or lidar wake tracking bias. In the following we contemplate some factors that affect this:

- Horizontally asymmetric mean inflow conditions
  A steady horizontal wind shear could be responsible for a constantly deviated wake towards one side. However, we think that the probability of such inflow conditions is very low. Mainly, during the evaluated period of time, the wind direction range selected is relatively wide so that any local effects, due for instance to wind farm geometry, should not be persistent for the whole data set.

- Bias of the nacelle wind vane
We have checked the correlation between two sources of yaw misalignment, namely the nacelle wind vane and the difference between the wind direction measured at the meteorological mast FINO1 and the yaw position of the rotor. After performing a linear regression we obtained a bias of approximately $+3^\circ$ for the wind vane, which seems to coincide with the $\Delta \gamma = +2.7^\circ$.

### Biased wake tracking method

The tracking method is applied here independently to each measured snapshot. In this process, the first guessed position in the minimisation algorithm is $\mu_y = 0$ and $\mu_z = 0$. Therefore, any bias of the method towards one direction could be given by the optimisation algorithm used. However, it does not seem plausible for it to have a predilection for one specific side. First, the function is symmetrical and smooth and second, the optimising algorithm is not expected to have a preferred search direction. Therefore, any bias caused by the lidar wake tracking should be mainly caused by an error in orientation of the system on the nacelle. We have estimated an orientation error in the order of $\pm 1^\circ$ based on our knowledge of the lidar system and the mounting at the nacelle. However, the actual misalignment between the longitudinal axis of the lidar and the nacelle is unknown to us and a deviation from the estimated error could be possible. An accurate verification of this value could be performed through evaluation of nearby hard targets; however, this was practically not possible for us due to the lack of such external objects in the achievable range of our lidar system.

### Interaction of surrounding flow and rotating wake

A plausible hypothesis is that the near wake path could show an asymmetry as a result of the interaction of wake flow rotation, vertical wind shear and wake deficit. Such effect has been observed experimentally in measurements analysed in the far wake in Trujillo et al. (2011). Furthermore, Dörenkämper (2015, p. 117) has made numerical analysis of the effects of atmospheric stability through large eddy simulations, showing that the wake mean deviation is sensitive to the atmospheric stability conditions. Although the mentioned studies do not get into the near wake region, we expect those far wake effects to be also visible in the near region and therefore could be observable in our measurements.

Finally, despite the possible existence of such asymmetry, we expect that the implemented tracking method still will work effectively without generating a bias in the horizontal wake offset. This is due to the low misalignment angles analysed, for which no deformation of the mean wake deficit should be expected. We have not checked this directly but base our assumption on results of wind tunnel experiments. For instance in the work of Krogstad and Adaramola (2012) we recognise that the shape of the wake changes for large yaw misalignments, yet we do not perceive any deformation between perfect alignment and $\gamma = 10^\circ$.

### 5.2 Comparison against wind tunnel measurements

The shape of the near wake paths measured during this campaign presents features which contrast with measurements of models in the literature. A qualitative comparison with a published wind tunnel experiment (Grant et al., 1997) has been performed in
The wake paths from wake tracking have been sorted in bins of $\gamma_v = 5^\circ$ to make them comparable to the published paths. Additionally, the results are also summarised in table 3.

In the wind tunnel experiment, the near wake paths of a turbine model of 0.9 m diameter have been estimated by means of tip vortex tracking. The tip vortexes have been observed and localised by means of the laser-sheet visualisation technique in an area ranging between $\sim 0.2 D$ and $\sim 0.5 D$. Therefore these results are complementary to the measurements in this paper. In regards to the operational conditions of the model turbine, the thrust coefficient is not documented. However, it is inferred from the reported skewness that the thrust coefficient is relatively large. The wake offsets for the three bladed model have been digitised and further processed for the comparison. First, the wake offsets have been normalised with the rotor radius; and second, they have been mirrored about the $x$-axis due to the application of an opposite convention of yaw misalignment in both papers.

The most evident difference between both measurements is what we call a wake deviation delay. This phenomenon is not present in the wind tunnel measurements. Additionally, an asymmetry of the wake paths of the model turbine can be identified, however, such behaviour can not be easily stated in the full field paths if the exact bias between yaw misalignment measurement and lidar orientation is not defined, as discussed in the last section. Finally, with the same background it is also not possible to draw conclusions on differences in wake skewness.

**Table 3.** Results of estimation of yaw misalignment ($\gamma_w$) by linear regression through last three points of the near wake path ($1.0D$, $1.2D$ and $1.4D$). The data have been classified in $5^\circ$ bins with respect to nacelle vane ($\gamma_v$)

<p>| $\gamma_v$ | $\gamma_w$ | $\Delta \gamma$ | Slope | Offset | $r^2$ |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[°]</th>
<th>[°]</th>
<th>[°]</th>
<th>[m/D]</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-11.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-6.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-6.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>-14.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-12.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>-22.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.3 Wake tracking performance

The wake tracking method shows a robust behaviour for some measurements. First, the wake position can be estimated successfully even for measurements covering partially the wake cross section. Second, the wake can be tracked also for complex shapes which do not resemble exactly the predefined Gaussian shape. This is of advantage due to the highly complex character of the wake wind field. In spite of this, some limitations are seen in terms of overall availability which amounts to 51.8%. The main reason for this can be evidenced in the results shown in figure 7, where in the first two downstream stations ($0.6D$ and $0.8D$) the frequency distribution of wake offset shows larger changes than in the other stations further downstream. This can be attributed to failed convergence of the tracking process, rather than to a physical larger wake deviation at that position. This
Figure 10. Comparison of published wind tunnel and full field mean near wake paths in the frame of reference of the turbine. The wind tunnel paths (from $\sim 0.2D$ until $\sim 0.5D$) have been digitised from Grant et al. (1997). Full field paths from lidar averaged in 5° bins of yaw misalignment.

... can be related to numerical issues, as well as to a extremely reduced measured area and a very complex flow field. As can be observed in figure 5 the unaffected flow at the nacelle level is very evident in the first stations and vanishes towards the end of the measurement domain at 1.4D. In this case, the nearer downstream stations 1 and 2 are affected in a major degree than stations 3, 4 and 5, which show almost no effect by this complex flow. This suggests that to solve this problem the scanning of the very near wake must cover a larger area.

6 Conclusions

In this research we aimed at measuring in full scale the horizontal path followed by the wake of a wind turbine; and observe the effects of yaw misalignment on their trajectory in a region near the rotor which has not been documented in the literature. We applied successfully a lidar wake tracking technique that has been tested previously to obtain far wake paths. We have analysed...
the near wake of a 5 MW offshore wind turbine for distances between $0.6D$ and $1.4D$ and under yaw misalignment arising in normal operation under free inflow conditions.

We identify a general limitation in the application of nacelle based lidar for the analysis of the very near wake. Mainly, the performance of wake tracking is strongly diminished at the nearest distances to the rotor due to the reduced area which is interrogated by a lidar scanner. Despite the restrictions, we were able to obtain plausible full paths with a success rate slightly above 50%. Furthermore, we succeeded in classifying distinctive wake paths with respect to yaw misalignment in angular bins of $3^\circ$ in the range of $\pm10.5^\circ$. These results suggest that, letting aside the bias, a consistent correspondence can be found between the tracked wake positions and yaw misalignment observed by the nacelle wind vane.

We appreciate an apparent asymmetry in the wake paths in reference to the nacelle longitudinal axis. Nonetheless, it is not possible for us to conclude whether this is due to orientation errors of the instruments or to actual systematic asymmetry of the wake paths. A more accurate estimation of lidar positioning and yaw misalignment than in our campaign is needed to disambiguate this issue.

In regards to the comparability against published results obtained in wind tunnel studies, we show that the wake paths are qualitatively different. A clear difference is that in our measurements all wake paths, in the lidar frame of reference, show a consistent convergence towards a downstream distance of approximately $0.9D$. We interpret this as a wake deviation delay that has not been reported in wind tunnel studies.

Finally, the differences that we identify between the lab and our measurements lead us to conclude that there is need of research comparing wake paths obtained through tip vortex and wake tracking techniques. We think that such work can give insight into the observed behaviour of our wake paths and would explain the discrepancies that we have found in this research.
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